# ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman)

& The Hon'ble Mr. Subesh Kumar Das (Administrative Member)

### Case No -<u>OA 19 OF 2019</u>

### SMT. SATABDI CHOWDHURY <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
2 01.02.2019	For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Advocate For the Respondents: None	
	Affidavit of service be kept on record. Despite service of notice, none appears on behalf of the respondents.	
	In this application the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the State respondents to allow him to join service. Prayer has also been made to disburse the salary withheld.	
	Heard Mrs. S. Agarwal, learned advocate for the applicant.	
	It is evident from order dated 20 <sup>th</sup> October, 2010 issued by the Labour Commissioner, West Bengal that the applicant was engaged on contractual basis temporarily for a period of one year which was renewable upto three years. Therefore, the cause of action, if any, arose in the year 2013. It is also evident from memo dated 18 <sup>th</sup>	

# **ORDER SHEET**

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	February, 2015 that the applicant has absented without	
	intimating the authority as a result the work has suffered.	
	It appears that the applicant had made a representation on	
	30 <sup>th</sup> July, 2015 and thereafter, representations were filed	
	on 7 <sup>th</sup> August, 2015, 11 <sup>th</sup> August, 2015, 10 <sup>th</sup> September,	
	2015 and 11 <sup>th</sup> March, 2016. Section 21 (I) of the	
	Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 specifies the period of	
	limitation for filing applications if appeal or representation	
	remains unanswered. According to the applicant the first	
	representation dated 20 <sup>th</sup> July, 2015 remained unanswered.	
	Therefore, he should have come up before the Tribunal	
	within the period of limitation as prescribed under Section	
	21 (I) of the 1985 Act. Be it noted that successive	
SCN.	representations cannot stretch the period of statutory	
	limitation.	
	Therefore, as the applicant was engaged on	
	contractual basis temporarily for a period of one year, no	
	order can be passed on this application. The application is	
	disposed of.	
	(S.K. Das) (Soumitra Pal)	
	MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	